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Revised Implementation Strategy

• OSTP Director Marburger signed Dec. 23, 2005 memorandum with subject line, “Landsat Data Continuity Strategy Adjustment”
  – supercedes previous direction to fly Landsat sensors aboard NPOESS satellites (Aug. 04, 2004 memorandum)
  – Directs NASA to acquire free-flyer spacecraft
  – Assigns DOI / USGS the responsibility for operating the spacecraft
  – States goal of developing “a long-term plan to achieve, technical, financial, and managerial stability for operational land imaging”
LDCM Schedule

• Jan. 09, 2007 - NASA released request for proposals (RFP) for an Operational Land Imager (OLI)
  – Proposals were due on Feb. 23
  – The RFP did NOT include a thermal imaging option

• June, 2007 - OLI contract award expected

• Summer 2007 - NASA will release a request for offers (RFO) for an LDCM spacecraft platform for the OLI
  – Spacecraft award expected in Fall 2007

• July, 2011 - Target LDCM launch date
## OLI Specifications

### Table 1. Required Spectral Bands and Spatial Resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Minimum Lower Band Edge (nm)</th>
<th>Maximum Upper Band Edge (nm)</th>
<th>Center Wave length (nm)</th>
<th>Maximum Spatial Resolution At Nadir (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Coastal / Aerosol</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SWIR 1</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SWIR 2</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Panchromatic</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cirrus</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thermal InfraRed Sensor (TIRS)

- The OLI RFP does NOT include an option for a TIRS
  - Despite a heritage of thermal imaging from Landsat satellites, the LDCM currently carries no requirements for thermal imaging
  - The LDCM budget does not currently include funding for a TIRS

- The community of people that use fine scale thermal imagery from the current Landsat satellites are proactively calling for the continuation of thermal imaging from the LDCM
  - For example, the National Research Council’s Decadal Survey Panel received a letter (Sept. 14, 2006) signed by 117 water managers and scientists:
    - “The accuracy of Landsat-scale TIR evapotranspiration (ET) estimates has improved to the point that consumptive use and water rights can be reliably monitored from space at the scale of a single irrigation system.”

- The Landsat Science Team sent a Jan. 23 letter to the NASA Administrator and the Director of the USGS recommending the addition of a TIRS to the LDCM payload

- TIRS is still under consideration
Decadal Survey

• Executive Summary states:
  – “Recommendation: NASA should ensure continuity of measurements of precipitation and land cover by:
    • Launching GPM in or before 2012.
    • Securing replacement to Landsat 7 data before 2012.”

• The Decadal Survey, pre-publication copy released Jan. 12, recommends in Chapter 6, Human Health and Security:
  – “Implement an effective Landsat 7 follow-on program including a slightly enhanced reflective channel selection and an effective thermal band selection”
Research to Operations

• Landsat thermal data are now used operationally to monitor water consumption on a field-by-field basis in the U.S. West and internationally
  – Development of operational energy-balanced-based evapotranspiration models
    • SEBAL
    • METRIC

• Water rights regulation and administration are critically tied to identification and quantification of water consumption on a field-by-field basis - Allen, R.G. “The Need to Continue High Resolution Thermal Imagery …”
  – Typical irrigated field sizes in the U.S. range from 180 m to 750 m on a side

• Quantification of water use from Landsat using thermal data is the only way to independently and consistently measure water use on a field-by-field basis - Morse, A., and R.G. Allen. “Water and the Critical Need for a Thermal Band on Landsat”
## Thermal Data Continuity

### Land Surface Temperature and Emissivity Earth System Data Record (LSTE-ESDR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution</th>
<th>Temporal Resolution</th>
<th>Current Data Sources</th>
<th>Future Data Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>10-20 km</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>AIRS GOES MSG</td>
<td>CrIS GOES MSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>1-5 km</td>
<td>2-4 times daily</td>
<td>MODIS AVHRR ATSR</td>
<td>VIIRS AVHRR ATSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>30–100 m</td>
<td>Once every 8-16 days</td>
<td>ASTER Landsat</td>
<td>!!!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table from S. Hook*
• 120 m spatial requirement derived from trade between irrigated field size and the maturity of detector technology
  - Sufficient to resolve most center-pivot irrigation fields in U.S. West - typically 400 to 800 m in diameter (see poster by Ryan et al.,)
  - Thermal data user survey by Rick Allen, U. of Idaho, supports 120 m requirement
  - Landsat 4 & 5 TM’s provided 120 m thermal images for single thermal band
  - Landsat 7 ETM+ provided 60 m thermal images for single thermal band

• The two bands enable atmospheric correction for accurate surface temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Center Wave length (micrometers)</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution At Nadir (m)</th>
<th>NE ΔT Requirements At TTypical</th>
<th>AtT High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal 1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.4K</td>
<td>0.35K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal 2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.4K</td>
<td>0.35K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USGS convened the first meeting of the USGS-sponsored science team for Jan. 09 - 11 at USGS EROS in Sioux Falls, SD

- Co-chaired by the USGS Landsat Project Scientist, Tom Loveland, and the NASA LDCM Project Scientist, Jim Irons
- USGS selected 17 science team members in Oct.
  - 8 funded PI’s from academia and private industry
  - 6 unfunded civil servant PI’s and 3 unfunded international PI’s
- Team selected Curtis Woodcock, Boston U., as Team Leader
  - Curtis signed and sent the thermal imaging letter to NASA Administrator and USGS Director on behalf of Science Team
### Landsat Science Team - Funded Investigators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Investigator</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Schott</td>
<td>Rochester Institute of Technology</td>
<td>The Impact of Land Processes on Fresh and Coastal Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Helder</td>
<td>South Dakota State University</td>
<td>A Systematic Radiometric Calibration Approach for LDCM and the Landsat Archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazaros Oraiopoulos</td>
<td>University of Maryland Baltimore County</td>
<td>Cloud Detection and Avoidance for the Landsat Data Continuity Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Goward</td>
<td>University of Maryland</td>
<td>The LDCM Long Term Acquisition Plan: Extending and Enhancing the Landsat 7 LTAP Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Allen</td>
<td>University of Idaho</td>
<td>Operational Evapo-transpiration Algorithms for LDCM as a Member of the Landsat Data Continuity Mission Science Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Vermote, Chris Justice, Nazmi Saleous</td>
<td>University of Maryland</td>
<td>A Surface Reflectance Standard Product for LDCM and Supporting Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Woodcock</td>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>Toward Operational Global Monitoring of Landcover Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feng Gao</td>
<td>Earth Resources Technology</td>
<td>Developing a Consistent Landsat Data Set from MSS, TM/ETM+ and International Sources for Land Cover Change Detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Proposal Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Wulder</td>
<td>Canadian Forest Service</td>
<td>Large-Area Land Cover Mapping and Dynamics: Landsat Imagery to Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Helmer</td>
<td>U.S. Forest Service International Institute of Tropical Forestry</td>
<td>Cloud-Free Landsat Image Mosaics for Monitoring Tropical Forest Ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Anderson</td>
<td>USDA Agricultural Research Service</td>
<td>Mapping Drought and Evapo-transpiration at High Spatial Resolution Using Landsat Thermal and Surface Reflectance Band Imagery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Belward, Frederic Achard, Philippe Mayaux</td>
<td>EC Joint Research Center</td>
<td>Natural Resources Management - Meeting Millennium Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Cohen</td>
<td>U.S. Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station</td>
<td>Landsat and Vegetation Change: Towards 50 Years of Observation and Characterization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Robert Bindshadler</strong></td>
<td><strong>NASA Goddard</strong></td>
<td>Advancing Ice Sheet Research with the Next Generation Landsat Sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasad Thenkabail</td>
<td>International Water Management Institute</td>
<td>Global Irrigated Area Mapping using Landsat 30-m for the Years 2000 and 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rama Nemani</td>
<td>NASA Ames</td>
<td>Developing Biophysical Products for Landsat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Vogelmann</td>
<td>SAIC/EROS</td>
<td>Monitoring Forest and Rangeland Change Using Landsat Continuity and Alternative Sources of Satellite Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Landsat 7 Mission Status

• **Spacecraft**
  – Gyro 3 Failure (Shut down May 5, 2004)
    • Flight Operations team implemented software gyro
    • Working additional improvements for software gyro
  – Other Spacecraft Issues (non-critical)
    • Solid State Recorder – 4 memory boards
    • Electrical Power Subsystem – shunt #14 and shunt #6
    • Fuel Line Thermostat

• **ETM+**
  – Scan Line Corrector Failure (May 31, 2003)
    • Continue to operate with SLC off; no impacts to radiometric or geometric performance
    • Expect to release Segment-based Fill product in Feb, 2007
  – Bumper Mode Operations (April 01, 2007)
    • The ETM+ began imaging in an alternate mirror-scanning control mode
    • Landsat 5 TM has collected data in bumper mode for 5 years with no significant impact on data quality
Landsat 7 Spacecraft Status

ETM+
- 5/31/2003 SLC Failure
- 2007 likely end of SAM Mode

Solid State Recorder
- 11/15/1999 SSR PWA #23 Loss
- 02/11/2001 SSR PWA #12 Loss
- 12/07/2005 SSR PWA #02 Loss
- 08/02/2006 SSR PWA #13 Loss
- (Each PWA is 4% loss of capacity, likely recoverable)

Reaction Control System
- 1/7/04 Fuel line #4 thermostat #1a failure.
- 2/24/05 Fuel line #4 thermostat #1b failure

Attitude Control System
- 05/05/2004 Gyro 3 Shut Off

Solar Array
- 5/14/2002 EPS Circuit #14 Failure
- 5/16/2005 EPS Circuit # 6 Failure
- (Each circuit represents 1/16 of capability, 12/16 needed)

Gimbaled X-band Antennas
(04/26/2000 GXA/ETM+ Interaction discovered)

- 705 Km altitude
- Circular/Polar Orbit
- Launched April 15, 1999
Landsat 5 Mission Status

- **Spacecraft**
  - Solar Array Drive Malfunction
    - Fixed array operations – Aug 2006
    - X-band Transmission (March 2006)
      - Power spikes associated with TWTA (Traveling Wave Tube Amplifier)
      - New turn on procedure to avoid spikes

- **TM**
  - Functioning normally in bumper-mode
Landsat-5 Flight Segment Overview

**COMM & DATA HANDLING MODULE**
- Transmitter A failure

**ACS MODULE**
- 07/03 FHST#1 Degradation
- Skew wheel tack anomaly 10/92
- 11/92 Earth Sensor 1 failure
- 02/02 Earth Sensor 2 failure
- Intermittent operations possible

**PROPULSION MODULE**
- 3/84 Primary Thruster D failure

**POWER MODULE**
- 05/04 Battery 1 failure / Removed from power circuits

**THEMATIC MAPPER**
- 10/94 Power Supply 1 stuck switch
- 06/02 TM switched to bumper mode

**DIRECT ACCESS S-BAND**
- 03/94 Side A FWD Power Sensor failure

**HIGH GAIN ANTENNA**
- 8/85 Transmitter A failure

**MULTI-SPECTRAL SCANNER**
- 8/95 Band 4 failure

**SOLAR ARRAY DRIVE / PANELS**
- 01/05 Primary Solar Array Drive failure
- 11/05 Redundant Solar Array Drive Malfunction
- 08/06 Transitioned to Fixed Array Operations

**GPS ANTENNA**
- Not Operational

**OMNI ANTENNAS**

**WIDEBAND COMM. MODULE**
- 07/88 Ku-band TWTA Prime failure (OCP)
- 07/92 Ku-band TWTA Redundant failure (OCP)
- 08/87 X-band TWTA Prime failure (OCP)
- 03/06 X-band TWTA Redundant Anomaly

**X-BAND ANTENNA**

**COARSE SUN SENSORS**

**DIRECT ACCESS S-BAND**
- 03/94 Side A FWD Power Sensor failure
U.S.G.S. EROS Landsat Archive Overview
(Marketable Scenes through September 25, 2006)

- **ETM+: Landsat 7**
  - 654,932 scenes
  - 608TB RCC and L0Ra Data
  - Archive grows by 260GB Daily

- **TM: Landsat 4 & Landsat 5**
  - 671,646 scenes
  - 336TB of RCC and L0Ra Data
  - Archive Grows by 40GB Daily

- **MSS: Landsat 1 through 5**
  - 641,555 scenes
  - 14TB of Data

Archive reached 2 million scenes on Feb. 20, 2007
Pilot Project: Web Enabled Standard ETM+ Product

- Processed product / Level 1Gt
- Automatically generated
  - Predetermined parameters
    - e.g., Terrain-corrected, GeoTIFF
- Available via FTP / Targeted for April 2007
- Pilot Dataset
  - US only – includes Alaska and Hawaii
  - L7 ETM+ SLC-off only – 2003 to present (and ongoing)
  - < 10% cloud cover, 9 quality
• USGS release a Request for Information (RFI) for data to mitigate the impact of a Landsat data gap
  – seeks to identify potential suppliers of moderate resolution satellite data with characteristics reasonably similar to those of Landsat to cover the global landmass at least twice per year
  – If procured, baseline data would be placed in the U.S. national archive and distributed to the Landsat user community under the current unrestricted data-use policy. However, some licensing restrictions might be acceptable on data obtained from a commercial source

• Responses were due April 02
Beginning in January 2006, a Future of Land Imaging Interagency Working Group (FLI IWG) began to meet weekly to explore future U.S. options for acquiring Landsat-type data.

- A Landsat instrument would no longer be flown on NPOESS, the earlier approach to making land imaging operational.
- A single free-flyer satellite, like LDCM, is not an operational solution.
- NSTC was commissioned to develop a plan to achieve programmatic stability.

What began as an examination of management alternatives to ensure sustained Landsat-type imaging became a broader and deeper discussion about the failed policy history of Landsat.

A call to establish a National Land Imaging Program (NLIP), hosted by the U.S. Department of the Interior, emerged from these discussions.
NLIP Management Characteristics

• Focused Federal Leadership for the U.S. Land Imaging Community
  – Unified planning and operations responsibility
  – Coordination responsibility for:
    • Determining U.S. land imaging needs
    • Primary Source data acquisition and distribution
    • Technology R&D and system acquisition
  – Broker-agent for U.S. Civil Government and commercial sources of data
  – Single U.S. point-of-contact for International partnerships and arrangements

• Point-of-accountability for performance

• Flexibility as technical, fiscal, and political variables change

• Above all else, “Ensure availability, access, and ease of use of land imaging data for the Nation”